

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,579	11/12/2003	Herbert C. Hilicus SR.	2304.001	6666
23405	7590 02/28/2006		EXAMINER	
	THENBERG FARLEY	GRANT, ALVIN J		
	5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE ALBANY, NY 12203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3723	

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/706,579	HILICUS, HERBERT C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alvin J. Grant	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 E	December 2005.				
· -	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-31 and 34-37 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-21 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 22-31 and 34-37 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/706,579 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 22-29 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 The claims as written are unclear as to whether they are dependent or independent,

consequently the scope cannot be ascertained.

2. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. **Claims 22-29** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 22, 24, 26 and 28 the language as written renders the claims indefinite, because it is unclear whether the claims are dependent or independent. If the claim are independent, i.e., the reference to claims 1, 13, 17 and 21 respectively, renders them indefinite since the scope can not be ascertained, if it is a dependent claim, it fails to further limit the parent claims. It is a different statutory class of invention and should be written in conformance with the standard and form prescribed by the USPTO.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,579

Art Unit: 3723

7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 30, 31 and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 6. unpatentable over Curry 4,306,607.
- Referring to claims 30 and 31, Curry discloses a method of texturizing tread surfaces of a tire, the method comprising; forming a plurality of at least one of slices and grooves but does not disclose a specific depth. However, texturizing involves cutting grooves and slices in tires and it is well known in the art to cut groves to varying depths which would certainly include about 1/32-inch to 1/16-inch. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have cut the grooves and slices in a tire of Curry to a depth of about 1/32-inch to 1/16-inch while texturizing it. Furthermore, Williams (US Patent No. 4,515,200) teaches that grooves can be cut in tires to a depth ranging from 1/32-inch to 1/16-inch. Referring to claims 34-37, Curry, as modified above, discloses a method for texturizing tread surfaces of a tire, the method comprising: providing an apparatus for use by an operator for texturizing tread surfaces of a tire, the apparatus comprising: a stand, a tire mount supported by the stand and releasably attachable to the tire, a tire rasp, a tire rasp mount attachable to the tire rasp, a support for pivotally attaching the tire rasp mount to the stand, a driver operably connected to the tire mount and to the tire rasp for

Application/Control Number: 10/706,579 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

rotating said tire mount and the tire rasp; and wherein the operator is able to manually move the tire rasp into contact with the tire and apply pressure between the tire rasp and the tire to texturize tread surfaces of the tire; and operating the apparatus to form a plurality of at least one of slices and grooves about 1/32-inch to about 1/16-inch deep (as modified above) circumferentially around portions of the tread surfaces of the tire; texturizing means for at least one of slicing and gouging, hub mount means for rotatably supporting the texturizing means; support means for pivotally attaching the texturizing means to the stand so that the texturizing means is movable toward and away from the tread surfaces of the tire, across the tread surfaces of the tire, and around edges of the tread surfaces of the tire; and drive means operably connected to the tire mount means and to the texturizing means for rotating the tire mount means and the texturizing means; and operating the apparatus to form a plurality of at least one of slices and grooves are about 1/32-inch to about 1/16-inch deep (as modified above) circumferentially around portions of the tread surfaces of the tire; the forming comprises forming a plurality of at least one of slices and grooves using a texturizing hub; and the forming comprises forming a plurality of at least one of slices and grooves using a tire rasp.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1 and 3-21 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 12/12/05 have been fully considered but they are not completely persuasive.

In response to Applicant's arguments that claims in dependent form shall be construed to include all the limitations of the claim incorporated by reference into the dependent and further limit a preceding claim, it is still not clear if the claims are dependent or independent forms; and if they are independent they are not in proper form.

Applicant's arguments regarding the Williams reference is moot in view of the new grounds for rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J. Grant whose telephone number is (571) 272-4484. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,579 Page 6

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ajg

DAVID B. THOMAS PRIMARY EXAMINER